

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/811,237	03/15/2001	Karapet Ablabutyan	23451-037 8258		
7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER			
Robert D. Becker			KEENAN, JAMES W		
	& Phillips LLP				
1001 Page Mill	l Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Building 2			3652		
Palo Alto, CA	94304				
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	ffice Action Summary	09/811,23	37	ABLABUTYAN ET	AL.			
· Office Ac		Examiner		Art Unit	1			
		James Ke		3652	Wal			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress			
- Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specient of the to reply is specient or the tore of the to	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI E OF THIS COMMUNICATION available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 in the mailing date of this communication. filed above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- ectified above, the maximum statutory period- ted or extended period for reply will, by statu- office later than three months after the maili- nent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no even ply within the statu d will apply and will the cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) day: I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from insting to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor	mmunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 09 /	April 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>f</b>		is action is no	on-final.					
3) Since this appl	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accor	dance with the practice under	Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> a	and 21-51 is/are pending in the	application.						
	re claim(s) is/are withdra		sideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-19</u>								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 a</u>	and 21-51 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/	or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specificatio	n is objected to by the Examin	er						
	filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		objected to by the F	yaminer				
	ot request that any objection to the							
	awing sheet(s) including the correc				R 1.121(d)			
11) The oath or dec	laration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTC	)-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.								
12) Acknowledgme	nt is made of a claim for foreigr me * c)⊡ None of:	n priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).				
	•	ts have been	received					
	and the priority desarround have been received.							
	f the certified copies of the price				tane			
application	on from the International Burea	ıu (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	a in this fractional o	tago			
	detailed Office action for a list			1.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cite			4) Interview Summary (i	PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's</li> <li>Information Disclosure Si Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e tent Application (PTO-1	52)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office A	ction Summary		of Paper No./Mail Date	20040708			

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/9/04 has been entered.

2. Claims 10, 26, and 41-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, last line, the recitation of "the vehicle body" lacks antecedent basis. In claim 26, line 3, "the pivot member" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 41, lines 10 and 11, "arms" and "members" should be --arm-- and --member--, respectively;

and line 32, a comma should be inserted after "lift frame".

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-9, 21-24, 26, 46 and 49-51 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunlop et al (US 5,641,262) in view of Corley, Jr. (US 3,700,123), both previously cited.

Application/Control Number: 09/811,237

Art Unit: 3652

Dunlop, as previously noted, shows a liftgate assembly comprising a unitary frame having opposing side plates 3A, 3B and extension plate 5 extending therebetween, hydraulically driven lift frame 81 pivotally attached to the side plates, and liftgate platform 87 rotatably attached to the lift frame, wherein the liftgate is secured to the vehicle body by brackets 7A, 7B in a fully assembled state which is inherently considered to be capable of "freestanding", as shown in figure 6.

Dunlop does not show the platform to be supported at one end only, chains 89 being used to support the outer portion thereof.

Corley shows a substantially similar liftgate assembly wherein platform 31 is pivotally supported at one end only to lift frame 30. Note also stop dogs 57.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus of Dunlop by supporting the liftgate platform at one end only by utilizing stop dogs instead of chains, as shown by Corley, as this would simply be an art recognized alternate equivalent means of limiting the downward swing of the platform.

Claims 4-9 are treated in the manner set forth in prior Office action, paper #13.

Re claims 21-24, 26, 46 and 49-51, the modified apparatus of Dunlop could obviously be used to perform the method steps set forth.

5. Claims 2-3, 10-14, 25, 27-35, and 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunlop et al in view of Corley, Jr., as applied to claims 1, 4-9,

Application/Control Number: 09/811,237

Art Unit: 3652

21-24, 26, 46 and 49-51 above, and further in view of Fretwell et al (US 5,556,250, previously cited).

The side plates of Dunlop are attached to the rear of the vehicle body, rather than the underside thereof. The side plates of Corley are attached to the rear of the vehicle body as well as underneath the vehicle, but the attachment underneath the vehicle is to the frame members thereof, not the body.

Fretwell, however, shows a lift platform mounted in a housing M beneath a vehicle body. The housing is bolted to the vehicle with brackets 144. Although the housing is not explicitly stated as being attached to the vehicle body, it appears to be so mounted, or at the very least clearly could be so mounted.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have further modified the apparatus of Dunlop by attaching the side plates underneath the vehicle body rather than to the rear thereof, as suggested by Fretwell, so that most or all of the components would be stored in a safe, out of the way location.

6. Claims 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunlop et al in view of Fretwell et al.

This rejection utilizes the same obviousness rationale as set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph, except that the Corley reference is unnecessary since the claims do not require the liftgate platform to be supported at one end only.

Re claim 39, the chains of Dunlop are considered to be a "motion limiting stop".

Application/Control Number: 09/811,237

Art Unit: 3652

Page 5

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14, 21-40, and 46-51 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Claims 41-45 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claims 15-19 are allowed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 703-308-2559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Keenan

Art Unit: 3652

Page 6

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

jwk 7/8/04